



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/495,250	01/31/2000	Jin Soo Lee	CIT/K-108	4616
75	90 02/11/2003			
Fleshner & Kim PO Box 221200 Chantilly, VA 20153-1200			EXAMINER	
			WOO, ISAAC M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2172	
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/495,250	LEE ET AL.			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Isaac M Woo	2172			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 29 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a timely filed amendment whical (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
□ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)  will not be entered or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2,4-11,13-14 and 21-26</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.





Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: There are discrepancies from applicant's contentions between "the primitives (features and feature elements) and their weight are identified" and "no disclosure for feature element that are weighted". But, anyway, Jain discloses the weight of features and feature elements. The element of primitives are local color that is feature element and features that are global color, structure, shape and texture, which are called "primitives" (col. 6, lines 25-43). Thus, when Jain discloses that the primitives and their weights are identified (col. 12, 6-22), it clearly teaches that the features and the feature elements are weighted.

And Ishimaru discloses that the output of the comparison mechanism provides an input to an analysis mechanism for determination of the degree of similarity, dissimilarity, or portion of similarity of the images inputted into the comparison mechanism. The analysis mechanism could be as simple as determining total identity of images. Alternatively, the analysis mechanism may be a fuzzy logic subroutine capable of determining degrees of similarity and dissimilarity (col. 4, lines 50-65), which teaches the one of the output is the dissimilarity of image. Jain discloses the similarity of image and Ishimaru discloses the dissimilarity of image. Thus, the combined references teach both the similarity and the dissimilarity of image.

KIM VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100